2 3 4 5	Michael L. Mallow (State Bar No. 188745 mmallow@loeb.com Christine M. Reilly (State Bar No. 226388 creilly@loeb.com Meredith J. Siller (State Bar No. 278293) msiller@loeb.com LOEB & LOEB LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 220 Los Angeles, California 90067-4120 Telephone: (310) 282-2000 Facsimile: (310) 282-2200		
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant Mountain West Research Center, L.C.		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Paul Mankin, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. Mountain West Research Center, L.C., and does 1 through 10, inclusive, and each of them, Defendants.	Case No. CV13-06447-DSF (AGRx) Assigned to Hon. Dale S. Fischer JOINT STIPULATION EXTENDING DATE BY WHICH DEFENDANT MUST RESPOND TO COMPLAINT Action Filed on September 3, 2013 Service Waived on September 12, 2013 Filed and Served with [Proposed] Order	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28			

STIPULATION 1 WHEREAS, plaintiff Paul Mankin ("Plaintiff") and defendant Mountain 2 3 West Research Center, L.C. ("Defendant"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree as follows: 4 5 WHEREAS, on September 3, 2013, Plaintiff filed a complaint asserting class action allegations for damages and injunctive relief pursuant to the Telephone 6 Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. (the "Complaint"); 7 8 WHEREAS, Defendant's response to the Complaint was originally due on November 12, 2013; 9 10 **WHEREAS**, the parties stipulated to extend the date by which Defendant 11 must respond to the Complaint, so that the current response date is January 3, 2014; WHEREAS, in mid-December 2013, the parties reached a settlement in 12 13 principle and are currently in the process of preparing the settlement documents; 14 **WHEREAS**, the parties hereby agree to extend the date by which Defendant 15 must respond to the Complaint until April 1, 2014; 16 WHEREAS, this stipulation is made without prejudice to any party's right to request, subject to Court approval, further extensions of this deadline, as 17 appropriate. 18 19 // 20 // 21 // 22 // 23 // 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 // // 28

Case 2:13-cv-06447-DSF-AGR $\,$ Document 18 $\,$ Filed 12/30/13 $\,$ Page 3 of 3 $\,$ Page ID #:70 $\,$

1	THEREFORE, the parties stipulate, subject to court approval, that	
2	Defendant's deadline to respond to the Complaint should be continued until April 1,	
3	2014.	
4		
5	Dated: December 30, 2013	LOEB & LOEB LLP
6		MICHAEL L. MALLOW CHRISTINE M. REILLY
7		MEREDITH J. SILLER
8		By /s/ Christine Reilly
9		By /s/ Christine Reilly Christine M. Reilly Attorneys for Defendant Mountain West Research Center, L.C.
10		Mountain West Research Center, L.C.
11		WDIOTENGEN WEIGDEDG LLD
12		KRISTENSEN WEISBERG, LLP JOHN P. KRISTENSEN
13		DAVID L. WEISBERG
14		By /s/ John Kristensen
15		John Kristensen Attorneys for Plaintiff Paul Mankin
16		raui ivialikili
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		STIPULATION EXTENDING RESPONSE DATE